

there is substantial credible evidence that would warrant a preliminary inquiry or an investigation.

SWORN TESTIMONY

Rule 11. Witnesses in Committee or Subcommittee hearings may be required to give testimony under oath whenever the chairman or Ranking Minority Member of the Committee or Subcommittee deems such to be necessary. If one or more witnesses at a hearing are required to testify under oath, all witnesses at that hearing shall be required to testify under oath.

SUBPOENAS

Rule 12. No subpoena for the attendance of a witness or for the production of any document, memorandum, record, or other material may be issued unless authorized by a majority of all the Members of the Committee, except that a resolution adopted pursuant to Rule 10(a) may authorize the Chairman, with the concurrence of the Ranking Minority Member, to issue subpoenas within the scope of the authorized investigation.

CONFIDENTIAL TESTIMONY

Rule 13. No confidential testimony taken by or any report of the proceedings of a closed Committee or any Subcommittee, or any report of the proceedings of a closed Committee or Subcommittee hearing or business meeting, shall be made public, in whole or in part or by way of summary, unless authorized by a majority of all the Members of the Committee at a business meeting called for the purpose of making such a determination.

DEFAMATORY STATEMENTS

Rule 14. Any person whose name is mentioned or who is specifically identified in, or who believes that testimony or other evidence presented at, an open Committee or Subcommittee hearing tends to defame him or otherwise adversely affect this reputation may file with the Committee for its consideration and action a sworn statement of facts relevant to such testimony or evidence.

BROADCASTING OF HEARINGS OR MEETINGS

Rule 15. Any meeting or hearing by the Committee or any Subcommittee which is open to the public may be covered in whole or in part by television broadcast, radio broadcast, or still photography. Photographers and reporters using mechanical recording, filming, or broadcasting devices shall position their equipment so as not to interfere with the seating, vision, and hearing of Members and staff on this dais or with the orderly process of the meeting or hearing.

AMENDING THE RULES

Rule 16. These rules may be amended only by vote of a majority of all the Members of the Committee in a business meeting of the Committee: Provided, That no vote may be taken on any proposed amendment unless such amendment is reproduced in full in the Committee agenda for such meeting at least three days in advance of such meeting.

LAW ENFORCEMENT NEEDS A NATIONAL BALLISTICS IMAGING NETWORK

Mr. LEVIN. Madam President, last Sunday the news program "60 Minutes" reported on an exciting new technology called ballistic fingerprinting, which is currently underutilized by our Nation's law enforcement organizations. Each time a gun is fired, it inscribes a unique pattern on each bullet. This marking is referred to as a ballistic fingerprint. The "60 Minutes" re-

port presented the case of a New York City double homicide in which the New York Police Department developed little evidence to work with besides the bullet shells and casings from the crime scene. After exhausting all other efforts to solve the case, detectives took those shells and casings to the NYPD ballistics lab to be scanned into the Integrated Ballistic Identification System, a database of ballistic fingerprints maintained by the Federal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives. The ballistics lab was able to connect the gun used in the double homicide to the one used three months later in an armed robbery. An arrest was made and the man was convicted of both crimes. Without ballistics fingerprinting this case might have never been solved.

Through its National Integrated Ballistic Information Network or NIBIN Program, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives deploys Integrated Ballistic Identification System equipment to State and local law enforcement agencies, such as the one in New York City, for their use in imaging and comparing crime gun evidence. This state-of-the-art equipment allows firearms technicians to acquire digital images of the markings made by a firearm on bullets and shells, like was done in the New York case. Unfortunately, at this point, only weapons that are confiscated in crimes are included in this database. Expanding this database to include newly manufactured and imported guns would enhance law enforcement's ability to investigate and reduce gun-related crime.

I believe that the ATF's ballistic fingerprinting network should be expanded, and that is why I have cosponsored the Technological Resource for Assisting Criminal Enforcement Act or TRACE Act. Under this bill, manufacturers and importers would be required to test fire firearms and capture ballistics images of the fired bullets and casings of new firearms. Expanding NIBIN to include these ballistics images would increase the crime gun tracing capabilities of the ATF and local law enforcement. Law enforcement could identify firearms by using the ballistics images of cartridge cases and bullets recovered at crime scenes even when criminals had removed the serial number. In fact, this technology would allow investigators to identify the firearm used in the crime without actually recovering that firearm. The legislation also contains strict provisions stating that the ballistics information regarding individual guns may not be used for prosecutorial purposes unless law enforcement officials have a reasonable belief that a crime has been committed and that ballistics information would assist in the investigation of that crime.

I believe this is sensible legislation that will strengthen law enforcement's ability to effectively track down criminals. This technology has worked for

both the NYPD and in the investigation of the Washington area sniper attacks. I urge my colleagues to support it.

LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 2001

Mr. SMITH. Madam President, I rise today to speak about the need for hate crimes legislation. In the last Congress Senator KENNEDY and I introduced the Local Law Enforcement Act, a bill that would add new categories to current hate crimes law, sending a signal that violence of any kind is unacceptable in our society.

I would like to describe a terrible crime that occurred March 9, 2002 in Huntington Beach, CA. Aris Gaddvang, 25, a Filipino-American store manager, was beaten in a parking lot. The attackers, three teenagers, shouted racial slurs and "white power" before beating Gaddvang with metal pipes. After the attack, Gaddvang said he received a phone call from someone who identified himself as one of the attackers. Gaddvang said that the caller used racial slurs and threatened him.

I believe that government's first duty is to defend its citizens, to defend them against the harms that come out of hate. The Local Law Enforcement Enhancement Act is a symbol that can become substance. I believe that by passing this legislation and changing current law, we can change hearts and minds as well.

SUPPORTING THE USE OF ETHANOL

Mr. VOINOVICH. Madam President, I rise today to express my support for the ethanol legislation that is being introduced today.

I am pleased to join my colleagues, Senators HAGEL, LUGAR, DASCHLE, and JOHNSON, in this effort to develop an ethanol package that addresses the concerns of a variety of stakeholders in the energy debate while providing a tangible benefit for the American people. I believe that increasing our use of renewable fuels such as ethanol and biodiesel is a key element in our effort to construct a viable energy policy.

As I have often stated, we face an incredible challenge in putting together an energy policy for our Nation. In my view, the Senate has a responsibility to develop a policy that harmonizes energy and environmental policies, and to acknowledge that the economy and the environment are vitally intertwined.

As I has to be a policy that broadens our base of energy resources to create stability, guarantee reasonable prices, and protect America's security. It has to be a policy that will keep energy affordable. Finally, it has to be a policy that won't cripple the engines of commerce that fund the research that will yield future environmental protection technologies.